CAKE OF COMPLIANCE

Valid until end: December 2019



TÜV Rheinland Nederland B.V. hereby declares that the product

Pressure sensor Cerabar M type PMC 45, PMC51, OPMC51, Cerabar S type PMC71, OPMC71 and Deltabar FMD71, OFMD71

from

Endress+Hauser GmbH at Maulberg, Germany

has been evaluated for compliance with the Hygienic Equipment Design Criteria of the EHEDG, by:

TNO at Zeist, The Netherlands and meets the criteria as demonstrated by:

Evaluation Report No. <u>V99.591/02RE</u>

Signed

J. Kastelein, Evaluation Officer

Signed

C.C.M. van Houten, TÜV Rheinland Nederland B.V.

Certificate No. PE 14-3454 Date of issue: December 31, 2017

First issue: December 31, 2001

©EHEDG

TÜV Rheinland Nederland B.V. P.O. Box 2220, 6802 CE Arnhem the Netherlands



Appendix 3

EHEDG CERTIFICATION - EQUIPMENT EVALUATION FORM OFFICIAL ENGLISH VERSION

EQUIPMENT INTENDED FOR CLEANING WITH LIQUID, TYPE E

	EHEDG
	FILE NO DATE TO STATE
L	

Equipment manufacturer: Endress+Hauser GmbH+Co.KG, Maulburg Germany							
Date:	November 2006						
Name:	pressure transmitter	TNO QvL number:	06-40				

Type no.: Cerabar M; PMP45, PMP46

EL contract number:07-9604 Cerabar S; PMC71, PMP75

Other essential identification: none

Evaluated by:	Eval	uat	ed	bv:
---------------	------	-----	----	-----

Name: Ing J. Kastelein

EHEDG or EHEDG Authorized Organization:

TNO Quality of Life, Zeist, Netherlands

Approved by

J. Hofmann Name:

EHEDG or EHEDG Authorized Organization: MAKTUM MU: MUENCHEN

1.	Results of inspection for compliance with the EHEDG Hygienic Design Criteria. Conclusion: The equipment complies with the criteria. The use of the EHEDG Certification Type EL logo is justified:	YES MAYBE	ר פ ו
		NO	1
2.	Evidence of compliance with the EHEDG Hygienic Design Criteria provided and convincing, TNO report V99.591RE. Conclusion: The equipment complies with the criteria. The use of the EHEDG Certification Type EL logo is justified:	YES	ב
3.	Only unavoidable reasons for non-compliance present. Evidence for compensation provided and convincing for Certification. Conclusion: The equipment complies with the criteria where possible.	YES	٢
	The use of the EHEDG Certification Type EL logo is justified:	NO	~

Signature:

Date: November

The original of this form will be kept together by the EHEDG or the EHEDG Authorized Organisation with the application, the inspection report, the evidence provided and any other relevant documentation, as listed on the back.

Type EL Certification:

Cross out as appropriate.